

When the FBI first learned from your staff that your Committee was interested in looking at the background investigative files of Mr. Livingstone and Mr. Marceca, the files were reviewed to remove identifying information relating to third parties as well as third agency information. During this review, the information concerning the results of the interview with Mr. Nussbaum were identified. Because issues raised in Mr. Nussbaum's interview had been discussed in connection with the Committee's oversight investigation, it was determined that the Bureau had a responsibility to advise affected parties. Therefore, after arrangements were made for your staff to review the files, the Department of Justice, and then the White House, were advised of the results of this review. As you will recall, we followed this procedure of full disclosure when we first located the White House request for Barnaby Braeseux's previous reports, which the Director advised you of personally on June 5, 1996. In that instance, as in others, you were advised of the information well in advance of any notices being given to the White House.

The minority staff of the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight have not asked for further details about the information in question. However, if they do so, the FBI will similarly advise them.

I hope this information is helpful to you. As the Director has advised you, the FBI wants to continue to cooperate fully with you in this matter. Please advise me if I can be of any other assistance.

Sincerely yours,

HOWARD M. SHAPIRO,  
*General Counsel.*

FIRST LADY HILLARY CLINTON Q AND A'S IN  
BUCHAREST, ROMANIA, MONDAY, JULY 1, 1996

Q from AP: Before we get too far along with our wonderful Romanian visit, I want to clear up just one thing hanging over Washington. Did you or to your knowledge, did Vince Foster have anything to do with the hiring of Craig Livingstone?

A from HRC: I don't know anything about it, I know I didn't.

Q from AP: Do you have any reason to believe that Vince Foster did?

A from HRC: I have no reason believe that.

Q from AP: Is there any connection between your mother and Craig Livingstone's mother. Which is something the FBI agent is claiming.

A from HRC: The "ex FBI Agent"? No there is no connection. I do believe, if I ever meet the woman I'm going to say "Mrs. Livingstone I presume."

FIRST LADY DISCUSSION WITH TRAVELING  
PRESS, HELSINKI, FINLAND, JULY 10, 1996

Q from ABC: I need to follow-up on one of Ron's questions. When did you first meet Craig Livingstone? When did you become aware that you knew him?

A from HRC: I don't have any idea. I don't recall meeting him for the first couple of years we were in the White House. I just don't know him. I have met him since then, but my best memory is sometime within the last year is the first time I ever put a face and a name together.

Q from AP: I really don't want to belabor this, but did I understand you on the Livingstone question, that you don't really have a memory of knowing him until this all happened?

A from HRC: Ron, I did not know his mother. I did not know him. I did not have anything to do with his being hired. And, I do not remember even meeting him until sometime in the last year. So, it does not mean I did not run into him. It does not mean that I did not shake his hand in a receiving line.

All that could have happened. But, in terms of any connection with this young man or any kind of relationship with him, there was none.

DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM H. KENNEDY, III,  
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM AND  
OVERSIGHT, JUNE 18, 1996

Q. Do you know if the First Lady was involved at all with the hiring of Craig Livingstone in your office?

A. I don't believe she was. I do not know one way or the other. I don't believe so.

Q. Do you recall ever saying to anyone that the First Lady wanted to have Craig Livingstone in the position at the Security Office at the White House?

A. Me ever saying that?

Q. Yes.

A. I never said that.

STATEMENT OF WILLIAM H. KENNEDY, JUNE 29,  
1996

Gary Aldrich's account of a conversation with me about Craig Livingstone's suitability for the job of Director of Personnel Security is pure fiction. I never told Aldrich that Mrs. Clinton wanted Mr. Livingstone in that post. I have never had any discussion with Mrs. Clinton about Craig Livingstone. No one else ever told me that Mrs. Clinton had any interest whatsoever in Mr. Livingstone or his position.

SWORN TESTIMONY OF CRAIG LIVINGSTONE,  
SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, JUNE 28, 1996

Leahy: OK. I've also read in the press allegations that come from unspecified sources that your mother is a close friend of the first lady. Is she?

Livingstone: No, sir.

Leahy: And you have no idea who those sources are that tell these things?

Livingstone: No, sir. I've asked my mother and she, for the record, says that she has never met Mrs. Clinton.

SWORN TESTIMONY OF CRAIG LIVINGSTONE,  
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM  
AND OVERSIGHT, JUNE 26, 1996

Horn: Well, what I'm curious now is, Mr. Marceca and Mr. Livingstone, did Vice President Gore or Mrs. Clinton recommend you for the position you held, Mr. Livingstone, to your knowledge?

Livingstone: I have no knowledge of that.

Mica: Does anyone in your family have any relationship with the first family?

Livingstone: Absolutely not.

#### A PROPER AND APPROPRIATE DISCUSSION

(Mr. WALKER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, what we have just heard is a chairman of a committee of jurisdiction tell this House that members of the administration or formerly members of the administration came before this Congress and told a lie. I think that is the business of this Congress. I think it is entirely appropriate to discuss on the House floor the fact that someone came before an investigative committee and lie to that committee. I think it is entirely appropriate for the chairman of that committee to take those actions that are available to him in order to ensure that those matters are brought before proper authorities.

What has happened here this evening is that we have had a chairman exercise his obligation to the American people and his obligation under the Constitution to, first of all, do oversight and then, if that oversight process is not properly adhered to, to ensure that the proper law enforcement officials focus on it. That is exactly what was done here tonight. It is absolutely proper.

#### EMBARRASSING ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM AND OVERSIGHT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. KANJORSKI] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KANJORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I am very disappointed in the fact that my chairman came here and took the floor. I have had a great deal of respect and regard for the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER], and as the days and weeks move on toward the end of this session, watching the activities of the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives, I am getting more embarrassed every moment.

I say, and I am looking right at the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER], I was aware of what you were going to say today. . . .

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I demand that the gentleman's words be taken down.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HOBSON). The gentleman will be seated.

The gentleman asks that the words be taken down.

The Clerk will report the words.

□ 1720

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HOBSON). Does the gentleman from Pennsylvania seek recognition?

Mr. KANJORSKI. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I understand that the taking down of my words was with the intention that it was a personal attack, referring to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER] . . . Certainly I am not attacking nor do I intend to attack him personally in that regard. The expressions were perhaps not precise in the use of the language and I would like to correct and get understood on the record what my intentions were.

That is, as an old lawyer myself and as a reader of the Constitution, I wanted to call the attention of the House and those people watching this proceeding that if the remarks made by my colleague from Pennsylvania were made outside of the House Chamber, he could be subject to tortious action.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I demand the gentleman's words be taken down again.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman from Pennsylvania have a unanimous-consent request?

Mr. KANJORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I am making a request to withdraw my original words.